

Reprint

ISSN 0973-9424

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
AND ENGINEERING
APPLICATIONS**

(IJMSEA)



www.ascent-journals.com

FUZZY METRIC SPACES AND EXTENSION OF FIXED POINT THEOREMS THROUGH RATIONAL INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS

SURENDRA KUMAR TIWARI¹ AND RANU AGRAWAL²

^{1,2} Department of Mathematics,
Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kargi Road, Kota,
Bilaspur (C.G.)-India

Abstract

In 1965, Zadeh introduced fuzzy set theory, which has since led to significant advancements in developing fuzzy analogs of classical theories. This theory has found a wide range of applications across various fields. One of the key advantages of fuzzy mathematics is its practical use beyond pure mathematics, including in areas such as quantum particle physics, as explored by El Naschie in 2004. This manuscript aims to present and investigate fixed point theorems related to contractive mappings, as well as to examine rational expressions of specific theoretical outcomes concerning fuzzy metric spaces. Our results extend, generalize, and unify several well-established findings in the existing literature. Furthermore, we provide applications to support our conclusions.

1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy metric spaces was introduced by Kramosil and Michaleik [17] and later modified by George and Veeramani [7] with the aid of t-norms. Grabiec M. [10] established the fuzzy version of the Banach contraction principle, marking a significant milestone in the development of fixed point theory within fuzzy metric space [23].

Key Words and Phrases : *Fuzzy Metric Space, Fixed Point, Rational expression, and Fuzzy Point.*

Various authors have derived several fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces using concepts such as compatible maps, implicit relations, weakly compatible maps, and R-weakly compatible maps. Singh and Chouhan [21] and Cho [3] presented fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces for four self-maps based on the concept of compatibility, which requires that two mappings be continuous.

Numerous researchers have established common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces under various contractive conditions. Notable contributions in this field include the works of Imdad Ali [15, 16], Chouhan S. et al. [1], Chouhan V.S. et al. [2], Dhinarvand [4], Eidi et al. [6], Kumar S. [18], Sneha et al. [25], Gopal et al. [9], Gupta V. et al. [11, 12, 13], Gupta S. et al. [14], Singh V. et al. [22], and Tiwari R. et al. [26]. In 2017, Govery A. and Singh M. [8] established a common fixed point theorem for six self-mappings in fuzzy metric spaces by employing the concepts of compatibility and weak compatibility. Recently, Sonam et al. [24] obtained fixed point results for soft fuzzy metric spaces. In 2024, Dubey H. et al. [5] proved common fixed point theorems for six and seven self-mappings in fuzzy metric spaces using the E.A. property.

Yadav and Wadhwa [28] conducted a study on fixed point theorems within fuzzy metric spaces, specifically focusing on ϕ -admissible mappings for both single-valued and set-valued functions. Recently, Tiwari S.K. and Agrawal R. [27] generalized and improved upon the results of Yonghong Shen et al. [29], building on concepts introduced by Schweizer and Sklar [23]. They established a common fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces under a contractive condition.

This article aims to establish fixed-point results for rational-type contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Our findings generalize, improve, and extend the comparable results of Gupta et al. [11, 12, and 13] and Singh et al. [23], along with contributions from other researchers in the literature. Furthermore, we include applications to substantiate our findings.

2. Preliminaries Notes

In this section, we will present key definitions and results that will be referenced later.

Definition 2.1 ([7, 22]) : Let γ be any set. A fuzzy set A in γ is a function with domain γ and value in $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.2 ([19, 20]) : A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a

continuous t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $*$ is commutative and associative,
- (2) $*$ is continuous,
- (3) $1 * a = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (4) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$, for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.3 ([1]) : A fuzzy metric space is an ordered tripled $(\gamma, M, *)$ such that γ is a non- empty set, $*$ is continuous t -norm and M is a fuzzy set on $\gamma \times \gamma \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions, for all $x, y, z \in \gamma, s, t > 0$

- (i) $M(x, y, 0) > 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ iff $x = y$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s), \forall x, y, z \in \gamma$ and $t, s > 0$;
- (v) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is left continuous; and
- (vi) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1 \quad \forall x, y \in \gamma$.

Definition 2.4([2]) : The triplet $(\gamma, M, *)$ is said to be fuzzy metric space, if γ is an arbitrary set, $*$ is continuous and M is fuzzy set on $\gamma^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $M(x, y, t) > 0$;
- (ii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ iff $x = y$, for all $t \geq 0$;
- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$;
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s), \forall x, y, z \in \gamma$ and $t, s > 0$;
- (v) $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous; and
- (vi) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1, \quad \forall x, y \in \gamma$.

Then M is called a fuzzy metric on γ and $M(x, t, t)$ denotes the degree of nearest between x and y with respect to t .

Definition 2.5 ([3]) : Let $(\gamma, M, *)$ be a fuzzy metric space, $x \in \gamma$ and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in γ . Then,

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is said to converge to x if $M(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $t \geq 0$.
- (2) $\{x_n\}$ is said to Cauchy sequence if $M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t > 0$ and each positive integer p .
- (3) $(X, M, *)$ is said to be complete fuzzy metric space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X .

Lemma 2.6 ([3]) $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing, for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 2.7 ([3]) : If there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$, for all $x, y \in X$ at $t \in (0, \infty)$, then $x = y$.

3. Main Results

Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 3.1 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$M(Ex, Ey, kt) \geq \min \left\{ M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(y, Ey, T)}{M(x, y, t)}, \frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\} \quad (3.3.1)$$

For all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

Proof : Let $x_0 \in X$ and consider an iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such as

$$x_{n+1} = Ex_n, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

Now, put $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in equation (3.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_{n,n+1}, t) &= M(Ex_{n-1}, Ex_n, t) \\
&\geq \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), \frac{M(x_{n-1}, Ex_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k}) \cdot M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), \frac{M(x_{n-1}, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k}) \cdot M(x_n, Ex_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k})}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right), \frac{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}) \cdot M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \min \left\{ \left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\} \quad (3.1.2)$$

Following two cases arises.

Case -1 : If

$$\left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\} = M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right).$$

Then from (3.1.2), we get

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right)$$

which is proved by Lemma 2.7.

Case-2 : If

$$\left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\} = M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right).$$

Then from (3.1.2), we have

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right).$$

Now $M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= M\left(Ex_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \\
&\geq M\left(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k^2}\right) \\
&\geq M\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \frac{t}{k^3}\right) \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\geq M\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{k^n}\right) \tag{3.1.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Now for any positive integer $m \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M(x_n, x_{n+m}, t) &\geq M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{p}\right) * M\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{p^2}\right) + \dots * \\
&\quad M\left(x_{n+m-1}, x_{n+m}, \frac{t}{p^n}\right). \\
&\geq M\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{pk^n}\right) * M\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{(p^2k^2)k^{n-1}}\right) * \dots * \\
&\quad M\left(x_0, x_1, \frac{t}{p^m k^{n-1}}\right) \\
&\geq 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) = 1.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since $(U, M, *)$ is CFMS. So, there exists an element $u \in U$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u.$$

Now, we prove that u is a fixed point of E . Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Eu, u, t) &\geq M(Eu, x_{n+1}, t) * M(x_{n+1}, u, t) \\
&= M(Eu, x_n, t) * M(x_{n+1}, x_n, t) \tag{3.1.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Eu, Ex_n, t) &\geq \left\{ \min \left(u, x_n \frac{t}{k} \right) \frac{M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k}) * M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u, x_n, \frac{t}{k})}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k}) * M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ M \left(x_n, x_n, \frac{t}{k} \right), \frac{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}) * M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})}{M(x_n, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \\
&\quad \left\{ \frac{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}) * M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})}{M(x_n, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ 1, M \left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k} \right) * M \left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k} \right), \right. \\
&\quad \left. M \left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k} \right) * M \left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
M(Eu, u, t) &= \min \left\{ M \left(1, M \left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k} \right) * M \left(x_{n+1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k} \right) \right) \right\} \\
&= \min \{ (1, 1), *1 \}, \\
&= 1 * 1 \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Eu = u$. Hence E has fixed point.

Now, we prove uniqueness. For some $u^* \in U$ such that $Eu^* = u^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(u^*, u, t) &= M(Eu^*, Eu, t) \\
&\geq \min \left\{ M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right), \frac{M(u^*, Eu^*, \frac{t}{k}) * M(u, Eu, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k})}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{M(u^*, Eu, \frac{t}{k}) * M(u, Eu^*, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right), \frac{M(u^*, u^*, \frac{t}{k}) * M(u, u, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k})}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{M(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k}) * M(u, u^*, \frac{t}{k})}{M(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k})} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right), 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\min \left\{ M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right), 1 \right\} = 1$. Then $M(u^*, u, t) = 1$. Thus $u^* = u$.

If $\min \left\{ M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right), 1 \right\} = M \left(u^*, u, \frac{t}{k} \right)$, then

$$M(u^*, u, t) \geq M(u^*, u, t).$$

So, from Lemma 2.7, we get $u^* = u$. Hence fixed point is unique.

Remark 3.2 : If we take

$$\min \left\{ M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(x, Ey, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\} \\ = M(x, y, t)$$

in theorem 3.1, then we get the result of Grabiec, M.(1988) as follows Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 3.3 : Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$M(Ex, Ey, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$. Then E has a unique fixed point.

The following example is furnished to illustrate Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.4 : Let $U = \{0, 1, 2\}$. A function $M : U \times U \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ defined by

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + (x - y)^2}, \quad \forall x, y \in U.$$

Then $(U, M, *)$ is a complete FMS. Define a mapping $E : U \rightarrow U$ such as

$$Ex = \sqrt{2x}.$$

Now, for all $t > 0$, from 3.1.1 we have

$$M(\sqrt{2x}, \sqrt{2y}, kt) \geq \min \left\{ M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, \sqrt{2x}, t) * M(y, \sqrt{2y}, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \frac{M(x, \sqrt{2y}, t) * M(y, \sqrt{2x}, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{kt}{kt + (\sqrt{2x} - \sqrt{2y})^2} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{t}{t + (x - y)^2}, \frac{t}{t + (x - \sqrt{2x})^2} * \frac{t}{t + (y - \sqrt{2y})^2}, \frac{t}{t + (x - \sqrt{2y})^2} * \frac{t}{t + (y - \sqrt{2x})^2} \right\}$$

Or

$$\frac{t}{t + (x - y)^2} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{t}{t + (x - y)^2}, \frac{t}{t + x^2(x - \sqrt{2})^2} * \frac{t}{t + y^2(y - \sqrt{2})^2}, \frac{t}{t + (x - \sqrt{2y})^2} + \frac{t}{t + (y - \sqrt{2x})^2} \right\}. \quad (3.1.5)$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} & \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2}, \frac{t}{t+x^2(x-\sqrt{2})^2} * \frac{t}{t+y^2(y-\sqrt{2})^2}, \\ \frac{t}{t+(x-\sqrt{2}y)^2} * \frac{t}{t+(y-\sqrt{2}x)^2} \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{t}{t+x^2(x-\sqrt{2})^2} * \frac{t}{t+y^2(y-\sqrt{2})^2}, \\ \frac{t}{t+(x-\sqrt{2}y)^2}, * \frac{t}{t+(y-\sqrt{2}x)^2} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

then from (3.1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2} &\geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{t}{t+x^2(x-\sqrt{2})^2} * \frac{t}{t+y^2(y-\sqrt{2})^2}, \\ \frac{t}{t+(x-\sqrt{2}y)^2} * \frac{t}{t+(y-\sqrt{2}x)^2} \end{array} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow M(Ex, Ey, kt) &\geq \min \left\{ M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(x, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2}, \frac{t}{t+x^2(x-\sqrt{2})^2} * \frac{t}{t+y^2(y-\sqrt{2})^2}, \\ \frac{t}{t+(x-\sqrt{2}y)^2} * \frac{t}{t+(y-\sqrt{2}x)^2} \end{array} \right\} = \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2},$$

then from (3.1.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2} &= \frac{t}{t+(x-y)^2}. \\ \Rightarrow M(Ex, Ey, kt) &\geq \min \left\{ M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(x, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied as $Ex = \sqrt{2x}$

$$\Rightarrow E0 = 0.$$

Thus E has a unique fixed point $E = 0$.

Theorem 3.5 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$M(Ex, Ey, kt) \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(x, y, t), \frac{M(y, Ey, t)[1+M(x, Ex, t)]}{1+M(x, y, t)} \\ \frac{M(x, Ex, t)[1+M(y, Ey, t)]}{1+M(Ex, Ey, t)}, \frac{M(y, Ex, t)[1+M(x, Ey, t)]}{1+M(x, y, t)} \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.5.1)$$

For all $x, y, \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$, then E has a unique fixed point.

Proof : Let $x_0 \in X$ and consider an iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ in U such as

$$x_{n+1} = Ex_n, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

Now, put $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in equation (3.5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) &= M(Ex_{n-1}, Ex_n, t) \\ &\geq \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), \frac{M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})[1+M(x_{n-1}, Ex_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k})]}{1+M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})}, \\ \frac{M(x_{n-1}, Ex_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k}) \cdot [1+M(x_n, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})]}{1+M(Ex_{n-1}, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})}, \\ \frac{M(x_n, Ex_{n-1}, \frac{t}{k})[1+M(x_{n-1}, Ex_n, \frac{t}{k})]}{1+M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), \frac{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})[1+M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})]}{1+M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})}, \\ \frac{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}) \cdot [1+M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})]}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})}, \\ \frac{M(x_n, x_n, \frac{t}{k})[1+M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k})]}{1+M(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k})} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq \left\{ \min \left\{ M\left(x_{n-1}, x_n, \frac{t}{k}\right), M\left(x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{k}\right) \right\} \right\}. \quad (3.5.2)$$

Following two cases arises and one can complete the proof using the same procedure after inequality (3.1.2) as in theorem 3.1.

To prove the above both Theorems as follows, we use a control function $r : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ which is continuous and non decreasing such as

1. $r(0) = 0, r(1) = 1$.
2. $r(a) > \alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Theorem 3.6 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$M(Ex, Ey, kt) \geq r \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(y, Ey, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \\ \frac{M9x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right\}. \quad (3.6.1)$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

Proof : Since r is a continuous function and $r(\alpha) > \alpha$ for $0 < \lambda < 1$, then from (3.6.1), using proof of theorem 3.1, we get required result.

Theorem 3.7 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$.. be a mapping satisfies

$$M(Ex, Ey, kt) \geq r \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(, y, t), \frac{M(y, Ey, t)[1+M(x, Ex, t)]}{1+M(x, y, t)}, \\ \frac{M(x, Ex, t)[1+M(y, Ey, t)]}{1+M(Ex, Ey, t)}, \\ \frac{M(y, Ex, t)[1+M(x, Ey, t)]}{1+M(x, y, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \quad (3.7.1)$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

Proof : Since r is a continuous function and $r(\alpha) > \alpha$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then from (3.7.1), using proof of theorem 3.5, we get required result.

4. Applications

In this section, we applying our recent results to integral-type functions involving rational contraction is the main objective of this section. To this effect, we indicate Ψ the set of all functions $\Psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following hypothesis:

- (i) each Ψ must be a Lebesgue-integrable mapping on every compact subset of $[0, \infty)$;
- (ii) We have $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(t)dt$ for any be a non decreasing and continuous function, moreover, for each $\epsilon > 0, \varphi(\epsilon) > 0$. It is also implies that $\varphi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$.

Theorem 4.1 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\int_0^{M(Ex, Ey, kt)} \varphi(t)dt \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t)dt, \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(y, Ey, t)}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t)dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t)dt \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point. If

$$\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t)dt, \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(y, Ey, t)}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t)dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t)dt \end{array} \right\} = \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t)dt,$$

then we get the result as follows Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 4.2 : Let $(X, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\int_0^{M(Ex, Ey, kt)} \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt,$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$. Then E has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.3 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\int_0^{M(Ex, Ey, kt)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt, \frac{M(y, Ey, t)[1+M(x, Ex, t)]}{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ex, t)[1+M(y, Ey, t)]}{M(Ex, Ey, t)}} \varphi(t) dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(y, Ex, t)[1+M(x, Ey, t)]}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t) dt \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.4 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\int_0^{M(Ex, Ey, kt)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \left\{ r \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(x, y, t), \frac{M(x, Ex, t)M(y, Ey, t)}{M(x, y, t)}, \\ \frac{M(x, Ey, t)M(y, Ex, t)}{M(x, y, t)} \end{array} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.3 : Let $(U, M, *)$ be a complete fuzzy metric space and $E : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfies

$$\int_0^{M(Ex, Ey, kt)} \varphi(t) dt \geq \left\{ r \left\{ \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt, \frac{M(y, Ey, t)[1+M(x, Ex, t)]}{M(x, y, t)} \varphi(t) dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(x, Ex, t)[1+M(y, Ey, t)]}{M(Ex, Ey, t)}} \varphi(t) dt, \\ \int_0^{\frac{M(y, Ex, t)[1+M(x, Ey, t)]}{M(x, y, t)}} \varphi(t) dt \end{array} \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in U$ and for some $k \in (0, 1)$ then E has a unique fixed point.

References

- [1] Chaouhan S., Bhatnagar S. and Radenovic S., Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space. *Le Mathematicue*, (2013), 87-98.
- [2] Chouhan V.S., Badshah V. H. and Chouhan M. S., Fuzzy metric spaces and Fixed point theorems. *Palestien Journal of Mathematics*, 4(1), (2015),206- 212.
- [3] Cho S.H., On common fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. *International Mathematical Forum*, 1(10), (2006), 471-479.
- [4] Dinarvand, M. Some fixed point results for admissible Graghty contraction type mapping in fuzzy metric Spaces, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy systems*, 14(3), (2017), 161-177.
- [5] Dubey H., Wadhwa K., Choudhary S. and Bhardwaj R., Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space,.*African Journal of Biological Science*, 6(10),(2024), 6172-6181.
- [6] Eidi J.H., Fadhel,F.S. and Kadhm A.E. . Fixed Point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Inf. J. of Math. and Compu. Sci.*, 17(3), (2022), 1287-1298.
- [7] George A. and Veeramani P., On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 64(1994), 395-399.
- [8] Govery A.K. and Singh M. (2017), Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric space through weak compatibility. *Journal Anal. Num. Theory*, 5(1), (2017), 1-4.
- [9] Gopal D., Matrinez-mereno and Onzur N. ,On fixed figure problems in fuzzy metric space, *Kybernetika*,59(1), (2023), 110-129.
- [10] Grabeic M., Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces.*Fuzzy Sets and systems*, 27,(1988), 385-389.
- [11] Gupta V., Mani N. and Sani A., Fixed point theorems and its applications in fuzzy metric spaces. *AEMDS*, (2013), 961-964.
- [12] Gupta V., Saini R.K., Mani N. and Tripathi A.K., Fixed point theorems using control function in fuzzy metric space. *Cognet Mathemaics*, (2015), 1- 7.
- [13] Gupta V., Mani N., Sharma R. and Tripathi A.K., Some fixed point results and their applications on integral type contractive condition in fuzzy metric spaces, *Bol. Soc. Paran mat.* 43, (2022), 1-9.
- [14] Gupta S., Bhardwaj R., Wadkar B., Rao R. and Sharraf R.M., Fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces,. *Materia Today Proceeding*, 29 (p^2) (2015), 611-616.
- [15] Imdad M. and Ali J., Some common fixed point theorems in Fuzzy metric spaces. *Mathematical Communication*, 11(2), (2006), 153-163.
- [16] Imdad M. and Ali J., Ageneral fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces via an implicit function, *J. Appl. Math. Inform.*, 26(3-4), (2008), 591-603.
- [17] Kramosil O. and Michalek J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, 11,(1975), 336.344.
- [18] Kumar S., Fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric space, *Le Mathematicne* (2013), 87- 98.

- [19] Mishra S. N., Sharma S. N. and Singh S.L., Common fixed point of maps on fuzzy metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 17, (1994), 253-258.
- [20] Naschie EL M.S., A review of E-infinity theory and the mass spectrum of high energy practice physics, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 19(2004), 209- 236.
- [21] Singh B. and Chouhan M.S., Common fixed points of compatible maps in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 115, (2004), 471-475.
- [22] Singh V., Singh B. and Ahlawat A., Fixed point theorems for fuzzy B- type contractions in fuzzy metric spaces. *Mapana Journal of Sciences*, 22(11), (2023), 1-11.
- [23] Schweizer B. and Sklar A., Statistical Metric spaces, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 10,(1969), 313-334.
- [24] Sneha A.K., Singh chitra, Gupta S. K., Mishra P.K. and Bhardwaj R., Advanced results in fuzzy sets and applications in advance materials, *Materials today proceedings*, Vol. 47, ISSN NO. 2214-7853.
- [25] Sonam, Rathore V., Pal A., Bhardwaj R. and Narayan S., Fixed point results for mapping satisfying implicit relation in orthogonal fuzzy metric spaces *Advances in Fuzzy systems*, Vol.1 (2023), 1-8.
- [26] Tiwari R., Raskocecic, V. and Rajput S. Fixed point results in controlled fuzzy metric spaces with application to dynamic market equilibrium, *Kybernatika*, 58(3), (2022), 335-353.
- [27] Tiwari S. K. and Agrawal R. (2025), Relative strength of common fixed point results for two self mapping in fuzzy metric space, *Mikailalsys Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 3(1),(2025),81-91.
- [28] Yadav S. and Wadhwa K. Fixed point theorems for single valued mapping and set valued mapping in fuzzy metric spaces through ..- admissible approach, *Journal of computational Analysis and Applications*, 33(7), (2024), 651-660.
- [29] Yonghong Sen, Dong Qiu and Wei Chen , Fixed Point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 25(2012) 138-141.
- [30] Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(1965), 338-353.